#### The Ecology and Management of Plant Invasions: The Role of Restoration

Dean Pearson and Yvette Ortega USFS Rocky Mountain Research Station, Missoula, MT

#### Ecology & Management of Invasive Weeds





# Disturbance



#### Invasion Value Native system





























All species Perennial forbs Perennial grasses Annual forbs

Ortega and Pearson 2005

#### Quantifying and ranking invader impacts on plants

		Invasiveness			Impact				Other invaders		
Species	Туре	R	A	Rank (score)	E	F	Р	Rank (score)	Slope	F	Р
Bromus tectorum	AG	461	14.9	1 (6861)	-0.39	129.3	< 0.001	1 (2676)	-0.50	147.9	< 0.001
Centaurea stoebe <sup>†</sup>	PF	233	6.7	2 (1555)	-0.72	30.5	< 0.001	2 (1120)	-0.43	252.1	< 0.001
Euphorbia esula <sup>†</sup>	PF	87	12.8	3 (1111)	-0.53	37.5	< 0.001	3 (589)	-0.43	229.1	< 0.001
Potentilla recta <sup>†</sup>	PF	148	6.3	4 (937)	-0.50	19.1	< 0.001	4 (468)	-0.43	242.5	< 0.001
Veronica verna	AF	180	3.1	6 (564)	-0.57	9.4	0.002	5 (322)	-0.43	244.5	< 0.001
Linaria dalmatica†	PF	84	3.8	9 (316)	-0.75	14.4	< 0.001	6 (237)	-0.43	250.2	< 0.001
Poa pratensis	PG	38	9.4	8 (358)	-0.64	19.3	< 0.001	7 (229)	-0.43	244.9	< 0.001
Alyssum alyssoides	AF	114	1.7	16 (188)	-1.17	3.9	0.05	8 (220)	-0.44	256.8	< 0.001
P. compressa	PG	48	4.8	12 (229)	-0.79	20.1	< 0.001	9 (181)	-0.43	242.3	< 0.001
Hypericum perforatum <sup>†</sup>	PF	47	7.8	7 (367)	-0.44	8.3	0.004	10 (162)	-0.44	253.3	< 0.001
Verbascum blattaria	BF	40	6.7	10 (268)	-0.59	6.4	0.01	11 (158)	-0.43	246.5	< 0.001
P. bulbosa	PF	74	7.7	5 (568)	-0.06	0.2	0.68	(0)	-0.45	267.7	< 0.001
Arenaria serpyllifolia	AF	114	2.0	11 (232)	-0.16	0.3	0.61	(0)	-0.44	254.2	< 0.001
Tragopogon dubius	BF	166	1.4	13 (228)	-0.44	0.9	0.35	(0)	-0.44	256.1	< 0.001
Agrostis interrupta	AG	100	2.1	14 (212)	0.14	0.2	0.69	(0)	-0.44	260.1	< 0.001
B. japonicus	AG	88	2.3	15 (204)	-0.06	0.1	0.83	(0)	-0.44	258.2	< 0.001
Erodium cicutarium	AF	68	2.7	17 (186)	-0.44	1.3	0.26	(0)	-0.44	248.4	< 0.001
Sisymbrium altissimum	ABF	69	1.9	18 (132)	-0.74	2.8	0.10	(0)	-0.43	240.7	< 0.001
Taraxacum officinale	PF	81	2.3	19 (108)	-0.91	1.3	0.26	(0)	-0.44	252.6	< 0.001
Myosotis micrantha	AF	151	0.7	20 (100)	-2.20	2.0	0.16	(0)	-0.43	244.2	< 0.001
Holosteum umbellatum	AF	158	0.6	21 (97)	0.69	0.3	0.59	(0)	-0.44	255.1	< 0.001
Lactuca serriola	ABF	77	1.0	22 (78)	-1.09	0.9	0.35	(0)	-0.44	248.4	< 0.001
Filago arvensis	AF	72	0.5	23 (39)	-1.01	0.1	0.73	(0)	-0.44	255.6	< 0.001
S. loeselii	ABF	34	1.0	24 (36)	-1.69	1.2	0.28	(0)	-0.44	249.6	< 0.001
Camelina microcarpa	AF	42	0.5	25 (23)	-7.12	2.0	0.16	(0)	-0.43	252.1	< 0.001

TABLE 1. Components of exotic species invasiveness and impact based on surveys of  $n = 620 \text{ 1-m}^2$  plots in 31 grasslands across westcentral Montana, USA.

#### Pearson et al. 2016a











- Reduced nest success
- Disruption of singing



Ortega et al. 2006, 2014



CA-AV







Pearson et al. 2012





### Human medicine analogy



#### Pearson and Ortega 2009

# Side effects

- Nausea
- Insomnia
- Diarrhea
- Chest palpitations
- Dry mouth
- Fatigue
- Drowsiness
- Hallucinations
- Suicidal thoughts or behavior



# Hippocratic Oath



# Hippocratic Oath



![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Fig. 3.** Predicted population growth rate vs. spraying return interval and persistence time in soil. 'None' refers to no herbicide use. (A) 65% increase in fecundity during the release period; (B) 5% increase in survival and 65% increase in fecundity during the release period.

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Secondary invasion the bane of weed management

Of 168 studies, 38 quantified system response
Most studies suppressed target (96%)
Primary response to control is secondary invasion
89% of secondary invaders noxious/invasive
Secondary invasion correlated with invader control

#### Ecology & Management of Invasive Weeds

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Conclusions

eate invasion transation we are not in cansas any more sectoration we are not in ansagement effect not ruby slippers – they all have sight be and rarely get us back to Kansas be agement requires understanding the in and management tools to maximize ded outcomes and minimize side

#### For references

- Google scholar Dean Pearson
- dpearson@fs.fed.us